Screening for patient's distress and supportive care needs: is it a way to improve quality of care?

IBDC, Paris, february 8th 2013 Session 7: Patient Support

S Dolbeault*, A Brédart*, P Teillac**



^{*} Supportive Care Department

^{**} Direction of Ensemble Hospitalier Institut Curie, Paris

Context

Interdisciplinary Supportive Care Department of Institut Curie, Paris

- integrates diverse competences : psycho-oncology, palliative care, social work, nutrition, rehabilitation, addiction, tumour wounds, oncogeriatrics (in 2013 : 70 equivalent full time professionals)

What can we do from the diagnosis period and during treatment phase to give appropriate answer to patient's distress and needs AND to anticipate Supportive Care needs of patients who will be in remission?



Definition of Supportive Care

MASCC definition (1992)

« Supportive Care in cancer is the prevention and management of the adverse effects of cancer and its treatment. This includes management of physical and psychological symptoms and side effects across the continuum of the cancer experience from diagnosis through anticancer treatment to post-treatment care. Enhancing rehabilitation, secondary cancer prevention, survivorship and end of life care are integral to Supportive Care ».

http://www.mascc.org

- •Each step of the treatment and rehabilitation period is included
- •Treatment of side-effects and post-treatment sequela, including screening and an appropriate response to patient' psychological distress and unmet needs



Aims of Supportive Care

To allow a better clinical management of vulnerable patients defined by a high level of complexity

Continuity of care perspective

but also a better recognition from the medical community of the importance of global and patient's centered managed care



Screening distress and unmet needs: what to do?



MASCC and IPOS

MASCC Multinational Association for Supportive Care in Cancer

http://www.mascc.org/

French Branch AFSOS Association Francophone pour les Soins Oncologiques de Support http://www.afsos.org/

IPOS International Psycho-Oncology Society

http://www.ipos-society.org/

French branch SFPO Société Française de Psycho-Oncologie http://www.sfpo.fr/



Work of the NCCN for the last 12 years

NCCN: National Comprehensive Cancer network

Defining Distress

« An unpleasant experience of a psychological, social and/or spiritual nature which extends on a continuum from normal feelings of vulnerability, sadness and fears to disabling problems such as depression, anxiety social isolation and spiritual crisis »

(NCCN 2001, Guidelines 2010)

2010: Distress is recognized as the 6th vital sign

Special Issue: Screening for Distress, the 6th Vital Sign

June 2011

Volume 20, Issue 6, 569-674

Issue edited by: Barry D. Bultz, Christoffer Johansen



Why is it important to screen distress?

* High prevalence: 30 to 40 % with a number of identified risk factors

(NCCN 2004, Carlson 2004 and 2012, Jacobsen 2007, Mitchell 2011)

Notion of clusters

(Gwede 2008, Fleishman 2004, Miakowski 2004 et 2007)

* Not screening distress:

- Worse quality of life
- Higher sensitivity to symptoms
- Less satisfaction / care
- More coping and compliance troubles
- Heavier costs
- Survival ? Many contradictory studies

(Zabora 2001, Kornblith 2003, Velikova 2004)

(Breitbart 1995)

(Brédart 2001 et 2006)

(Mitchell 2006)

(Carlson 2004, Bultz 2005, Strong 2008)

(Watson 1999, Dalton 2002 et 2009)

* Health professionals ability to screen distress is low:

many barriers to communication

(Newell 1998, Passik 1998, Maguire 1999, Fallowfield 2001, Söllner 2001, Schoefield 2006, Holland 2005, Velikova, Razavi ...)

We have to organise screening procedures and develop simple screening tools to detect patients in distress



Using « Patient Related Outcomes » in the daily practise

To systematically integrate subjective measures to facilitate screening of patient's problems and need for help (Velikova, Snyder 2007, Lohr 2009, Mitchell 2011, Carlson 2012)

* Experiences with quality of life

(McLahan 2001; Detmar 2002; Velikova 2004, 2007, Rosenblum 2007; Hilarius 2008)

Done by doctors and/or nurses

Touch screen Implementations
using CAT (Computer Adapted System)

(Petersen 2006, Smith 2007 et 2009)

- * Experiences with distress
- ... Psychological distress as « the 6th vital sign »

(Maunsell1 996, Boyes 2006; Jacobsen 2007, Carlson 2010, Bultz 2010)

(Bultz Carlson 2007, 2010)

* Experiences with patient's needs

(Snyder 2007)



How do we screen psychological distress?

(NCCN, Jacobsen, Mitchell, Coyne)

- * In a majority of studies, 2 step procedure:
 - . A basic and easy to use screening tools (all professionals)
 - . A cut-off score above which referral to specific professional is organized (psycho-oncologist, social worker, nutritionist ...)

Guidelines NICE, CAPO, Australia, United Kingdom, Germany

(Jacobsen 2007, 2009; Bultz 2011)

- instruments most commonly used : HADS, CES-D, BSI, GHQ ...

(Mitchell)

- The NCCN Distress thermometer



Screening methods being gradually improved...

Many developpments have been done, starting from the *Distress Thermometer*

(Vodermaier 2009)

Addition of a Needs Scale

(Mac Lachlan 2005)

eg: Supportive Care Needs Survey (SCNS-SF34) 5 domains: physical, emotional, patient care, sexuality, information needs (Snyder et al, 2009, validated in french Brédart, Kop, Dolbeault 2012)

- Combination of different tools:

Distress and its impact

(Akizuki 2005)

Distress and affective troubles (Gil 2005)

Distress and other clinical dimensions (anxiousness, angryness ..)

(Mitchell 2007, 2008, 2012)

- Variation of distress cut offs



Screening for cancer-related distress: what's the impact?

Screening tools do improve screening by health professionals

(Greenhalgh 2009)

Screening seems to improve communication between clinicians and may enhance psychosocial referrals

(Carlson 2012)

What is the impact of screening on psychological well being?

(Bidstrup 2011)

Review of 7 RCT of the effect of screening for psychological distress on psychological outcomes : 3 positive, 1 positive only among depressed patients, 3 negative



Why is it important to screen Supportive Care Needs?

Patient's supportive care needs are diverse, depending on the moment of the cancer journey

Diagnosis

Difficulties: anxiety, fear, anger, depression; access to information, difficult decisions

Needs: Information, psychosocial, access to the benefits/risks of different treatments' options; communication with medical team; shared decision making

(Andersen 2009; Armes 2009; Miller & Massie 2010; O'Connor 2011; Stanton 2006; Sutherland 2009)

Treatment

Difficulties: Toxic side effects, Body change, feminity, fertility, social role

Needs: Physical functionning/daily life, Find support to deal with side effects, Psychosocial

!Harrison 2009; Montazeri, 2008; Rowland &Massie, 2010; Sanson-Fisher 2000)

Follow Up

Difficulties: Losing hospital reassuring effect, fear of recurrence, pain, fatigue, physical and sexual dysfonction, cognitive troubles, psychological distress

Needs: psychosocial, help for daily tasks, cope with pain, fatigue, information about supportive care possibilities, get information about the status

«remission »

(McDowell 2010; Armes 2009; Schmid-Buchi 2008; Ganz 2005, Stanton 2005)

... impacting Quality of Life



But ... positive change can also occur

Studies among breast cancer women after cancer treatment

After one year, many breast cancer women have a quality of life similar to the general population

Why? PTG: post traumatic growth

(Stanton 2006; Yang 2008; Lelorain 2011)

Some forms of support early during the cancer trajectory has been linked to better adjustment and can predict PTG years later (Cicero, 2009; Schroevers 2010; Scrignaro, 2011)



Needs at diagnosis versus needs at the follow-up period

Higher unmet needs at the beginning of the cancer journey PREDICTS higher unmet needs later on along the cancer trajectory

(McDowell 2010; Griesser 2010; Akechi

2010)



Follow Up

Predicting needs

- Anterior unmet needs.
- Minor satisfaction / care
- Problems with physical statut, sexual troubles.
- Younger age, lower education, lower supportive relations, psychological caracteristics (pessimism, poor self efficacy; intrusive or avoiding thoughts)

(Avis 2004; Mc Dowell 2010; Griesser 2010; Akechi 2010)

Early identification of unmet needs/ risk of needs is a way to optimise care

(Armes 2009; McDowell, 2010; Stanton

2006)



What is necessary to implement a screening program of distress and supportive care needs?



Requiered competencies

Many screening designs have been tested in the last decade, searching for a personalized answer to each patient 's unique needs

(Mitchell 2010, 2011, Carlson 2012)

- * Eliciting sensitive and easy-to-use instruments
- * Training health professionals (a big deal !....)
- * Having an appropriate care organisation to refer patients presenting specific needs
- * Being able to evaluate the global screening process
- * Development of clinical guidelines allowing for the diffusion of good practices



IV- How to cope with the gap between « ideal world » and the real daily life?



Showing 1 local example done at Institut Curie

Screening for distress and supportive care needs at the diagnosis time



1. Screening for distress and needs at the diagnosis time

Principal aim:

To evaluate the feasibility of implementing a systematic procedure of distress and supportive care needs' screening, managed by clinical nurses

Secondary:

- To collect descriptive data on : distress' prevalence, number and type of reported problems, type and adequacy of referral to Supportive Care Units
- To collect a feed-back from the nurses about the procedure



Organisation of the initial phase of the care process: the Therapeutic Decision Consultation (TDC)

* When?

In the 7-10 days following the surgeon's post-surgical final diagnosis

« Personalized Program of Treatment »

Taking advantage of our Diagnosis Disclosure Procedure from our First National French Cancer Plan (2003-2007)

* How?

Multidisciplinary consultation:

- meet both the chemotherapist and the radiotherapist
- and then meet the nurse specifically dedicated to this TD Consultation (as defined in Plan Cancer I)
 - --> Discussing the given medical information and explicitating treatments
 - --> Responding to patient's and caregiver's questions
 - --> Evaluating patient's supportive care needs



Two parts:

1 - Helping the nurses to identify problems to be referred to the Supportive Care Department

During the nurse interview of the TDC, 3 phases:

- Self-evaluation : PDS + problem checklist
- Nurse clinical interview (semi-structured)
- Nurse-(hetero)-evaluation and referral when necessary

2 - Nurses training:

Regular debriefing meetings, discussion of difficult clinical cases, medical chart analysis



French Validation of the NCCN Distress Thermometer

(Dolbeault 2008)

(cut off > 3, sensitivity = 0.75; specificity = 0.83)

Dans le contexte de la maladie, il arrive fréquemment de se sentir fragilisé sur le plan psychologique, que ce soit en rapport avec la maladie elle-même ou pour d'autres raisons personnelles.

L'échelle ci-dessous représente un moyen d'apprécier votre état psychologique.

Nous vous demandons de mettre une croix sur la ligne à l'endroit qui correspond le mieux à votre état psychologique de la dernière semaine.

Détresse très importante

Pas de détresse



Self-Evaluation: Problem list and Psychological Distress Scale

Identité patient : Département Inter-Disciplinaire de Soins de S				instit Ensemble pr			L'échelle ci-dessous représente un moyen d'apprécier votre état psychologique. Nous vous demandons de <u>mettre une croix sur la ligne à l'endroit qui correspond le</u> mieux à votre état psychologique de la dernière semaine. Détresse très importante
certain nombre de difficulté psychologique Il vous est possible de nous préparer la consultation ave	s, qu'e en fa ec l'inf	ire pa	soient o art au r ere, au c	ble que vous ayez repéré ou l'ordre pratique, physique, fa noyen de ce questionnaire. C ours de laquelle les différent n vers les professionnels co	milial, elui-ci p s problès	ermet de	
En vous remerciant par ava Bien cordialement,	nce de	e votr	re partic	ipation,			
L'équipe du D.I.S.S.P.O							
Cochez les cases correspondantes de la consultation infirmière.	Après	avoir	rempli ce	document (recto-verso), remettez-le	à l'infirmier	(êre) au début	
Problèmes pratiques avec :	c	DUI	NON	Problèmes physiques de :	oui	NON	
- Logement				- Douleur			(CONT.)
- Financiars (emprunts, sesurances, etc)				- Fatigue			Pas de détresse
- Travail - Ecole				- Sommeil			
 Logistique (garde d'enfants, besoin d'a à domicile etc) 	ide			- Alimentation	D		
Problèmes familiaux avec :	oui	NO	ON	Problèmes psychologiques	de : OUI	NON	Merci de dater le document
- Conjoint			1	- Soucis - préoccupations			
- Enfants			1	- Tristesse			
- Autres			1	- Dépression			
				- Imitabilité			
Autres problèmes Si oui, lesquels ? :	OUI	NO					
U.S.S.P.O 10/2006 — Grille publicat » d Contact: secrétarist Tell.: 01 44:32-40 S	kspostif O	d'anno	nce - cris	dation vers to DISSPO - SQUARGE		a page SVP	Dius 321 O 1000066 - Carbin patient - consellation d'annocuer - primitation ents le DISSPO - SDVM/66 Contact : perellaries Fel. 01 44 32 40 95



Caution!

The PDS score > 3 is **not** used as a direct referral criteria

It is considered by the dedicated nurse with other elements emerging from the clinical interview, taking into account the specificity of this initial phase of the care process



Exemple: Psycho-Oncology "minimum criteria", Institut Curie

Critères Unité	CRITERES PLANCHERS Minimum	CRITERES I DEAUX Maximum
	. Idées, propos ou comportement	Adaptation du traitement
	suicidaire identifié	psychotrope en fonction du
	. Antécédents psychiatriques	traitement spécifique
Unité de Psycho-Oncologie	lourds identifié (MMD, psychose)	
	Refus de traitement ou défaut de	
(adultes)	compliance lié à un facteur	. Souffrance psychologique
	psychologique	exprimée, jugée intense ou
	. Conflit ouvert avec l'équipe	inadaptée par l'équipe soignante
	soignante	
	. Demande de suivi psychologique	
	émanant du patient, de la famille	
	ou de l'équipe	

institutCurie

Identité du patient :

GRILLE DE RECUEIL DE DONNEES ORIENTATION VERS LE D.I.S.S.P.O



Identité soignant :

Date:

⇔CRITERES PLANCHERS DISSPO	◆REPERAGE	⇔REMARQUES
. Unité du Service Social	1= oui / 0 = non	orientation vers le service
Moins de 20 ans		oui - non
Plus de 70 ans, si :		
ntourage réduit <u>et/ou</u> conjoint + âgé à charge,		
difficultés à se mouvoir		
difficultés en lien avec les relations sociales		
Personne dépendante à charge du patient		
Isolement (pas de lien social ou familial)		
Problèmes matériels (logement, emploi, ressources)		
Projet d'orientation médicale (demande de placement en cours)		
Besoin d'aide à domicile		
. Unité Mobile d'Accompagnement et de Soins Continus	1= oui / 0 = non	orientation vers le service
Situation palliative, dont l'accompagnement et/ou les problèmes symptômatiques		oui - non
ont jugés difficiles par l'équipe référente		
ont juges ditticiles par l'équipe rétérente Souhait de fin de vie à domicile exprimé spontanément par le patient et/ou son		
ntourage		
ntourage Réflexion éthique liée à la situation palliative (arrêt de traitement spécifique,		
édation)		
. Activité Plaies et Cicatrisations	1= oui / 0 = non	orientation vers le service
	1- 001 / 0 - 11011	oui - non
Plaie chronique avec symptômes non contrôlés (odeurs, écoulement,		
émorragies) déjà pris en charge par l'équipe infirmière réfèrente		orienfation vers le service
. Activité Addictologie	1= oui / 0 = non	oui - non
Demande de prise en charge spontanée d'une ou plusieurs addictions (alcool,		
abac, etc)		
Repérage soignant de signes cliniques de dépendance (alcool, tabac, etc)		
. Unité de Psycho Oncologie	1= oui / 0 = non	orientation vers le service
Idées, propos, comportement suicidaire identifiés		OH - 909
Antécédents psychiatriques lourds connus		
Refus de traitement ou défaut de compliance par rapport au traitement de la		
naladie cancéreuse		
Projet de maternité		
. Activité d'Onco Gériatria	1= oui / 0 = non	orientation vers le service
Attente validation des critères	1- 0di / 0 - 1ibit	orientation vers to service
. Unité de Réadaptation Fonctionnelle	1= oui / 0 = non	orientation vers le service
,	1 0017 0 11011	orientation vers le service
Immobilisation de la personne ou d'un membre > à 5 jours Escarre		
Escarre Respiration rendue difficile par des sécrétions audibles ou visibles sans examen		
linique . Unité de Diététique	1= oui / 0 = non	orientation vers le service
,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	oui - non
Perte de poids > à 10% en 6 mois - ou perte de poids >5% en moins de 1 mois		
IMC<18,5 et IMC<21 pour les + de 70 ans		
IMC > 30		
Combinaison de plusieurs régimes ou régime mal adapté		
Régime spécifique prescrit		
Avant chirurgie gastrique, oesophagienne, résection du grêle		
Avant gastrostomie		
. Département d'Anesthésic/Réanimation/Dauleur	1= oui / 0 = non	orientation vers la cs douleur oui – non

Cette grille à remplir par les soignants est un **outil de repérage** des besoins spécifiques des patients requérant les unités du D.I.S.S.P.O.; Le Département Inter Disciplinaire de Soins de Support du Patient en Oncologie regroupe 5 unités, une cs d'addictologie et une cs infirmière: Unité du Service Social, Unité de Réadaptation Fonctionnelle, Unité de Psycho Oncologie, Unité Mobile d'Accompagnement et de Soins Continus, Unité de Diététique, une Cs d'addictologie et une cs infirmière Plaies et Cicatrisation. Chaque unité a défini les critères pour lesquels elle doit être sollicitée. Dans certaines snécialités certains critères ont été arisés (ou hachurés) car non adantés (NA).

Remettre le dépliant institutionnel du DISSPO avec coordonnées des services

Contact pour tout renseignement: secrétariat D.I.S.S.P.O tél. 01 44 32 40 98

Pour tout problème de compréhension d'un item vous pouvez vous référer à la Base "Bonnes Pratiques" sur l'intranet (Base de Connaissances) /services/consultations externes/orientation soins de support)



Population of new patients (N = 255)

representing 45 % of patients going through TDC

Age				
Median [Range]	59 [26-85]			
Gender N (%)				
Female	234 (91,8)			
Male	21 (8,2)			
Cancer diagnosis N (%	Cancer diagnosis N (%)			
Breast	209 (82)			
Lung	41 (16,1)			
Gynaecology	5 (2)			
Stage N (%)		_		
Locoregional	235 (92,2)			
Metastatic	20 (7,8)			



Distress levels

PDS score N=255 Median [Range]	2,7 [0-10]
PDS score > 3 N (%)	110 (43)
B y gender N (%)	
Female	106 (96.4)
Male	4 (3.6)
By stage N (%)	
Locoregional	101 (91.8)
Metastatic	9 (8.2)



Declared problems (self-evaluation)

Number of reported problems:

Pratical: 0 for 76 % patients, 1 for 16%, >2: 7,5%

Physical: 3 x 33 % (0, 1, 2) Family: 0 for 84 %, 1 for 14%

Psychological: 0 for 32 % patients, 1 for 34%, 2 for 20 %

Others: 1 for 14 %

Patients reporting ≥ 1 problem(s) N (%)				
	All patients	Patients with		
	(N = 255)	PDS>3 (N = 110)		
Practical	60 (23.6)	29 (26.4)		
Physical	178 (69.8)	84 (76.4)		
Family	40 (15.7)	22 (20)		
Psychological	168 (65.8)	88 (80)		
Others	26 (10.2)	14 (27)		



Referral to the Units of the Supportive Care Department

Referral to supportive care units N (%)				
Social Service Unit	90 (35.3)	49 (44.6)		
Psycho-Oncology Unit	50 (19.6)	39 (35.4)		
Physiotherapy Unit	61 (23.9)	32 (29.1)		
Nutrition Unit	4 (1.6)	2 (1.8)		
Wounds Unit	0	0		
Palliative Care Unit	0	0		

Most common combinations:

Social Service and Psycho-oncology: 86 patients Social Service and Physiotherapy Unit: 38 pts

Psycho-oncology and Physiotherapy Unit: 22 pts



Discussion (1)

Among our sample:

* 43 % have a significant distress level (EDP > 3)

(but over-representation due to the gender factor, majority of breast cancer)

- * Declared problems: physical (70 %) and psychological (66 %)
 Among the sub-sample of patients with EDP > 3: 76% et 80 % respectively
- * The PDS cut-off was not considered as an isolated criteria, had to be integrated with diverse clinical criteria, in order to help nurses in their clinical judgement
- * Referral to:

Social Service Unit (35 %); when PDS > 3:44 %

Physiotherapy Unit (23, 9%) (but mostly information consultations)

Psycho-Oncology Unit (19,6 %); when PDS > 3:35 %



Discussion (2): qualitative evaluation from the nurses

Large benefit of regular clinical meetings

Positive points:

- Helping clinical judgement
- Systematic procedure : screening tools / clinical interview
- Legitimation of the nurse's role / feeling more responsible ++
- Giving to the nurses more tasks to explore some fields (psychological, spirituality)
- Teaching of simple communication skills
- Satisfaction of patients is high

Difficulties:

- Resistance coming from some health professionals
- Changing of habits and behavior
- Depending on the will of surgeons



Limits

Not a representative sample

Only a photography at this point

No baseline point to evaluate the procedure efficiency

No quantitative data about nurses practise' changes

Work has been done mainly with the nurses, but we also need doctors to be involved

Hard work to change health professionals behaviors. Needs repetition and follow-up



What to do then?

* Repeat the screening procedure at each step

To repeat the procedure at different time to get a follow-up of distress and patients'needs (eg : beginning of chemotherapy, radiotherapy, end of treatments, follow-up consults)

* Train professionals and write guidelines

all health professionals should be involved, included doctors ...

* Emphasize communication skills trainings



2. Screening for breast cancer patient's distress and unmet needs at the end of the treatment and in the follow-up period

Study on work

Recruiting 350 patients a the first remission consult

- Determine prevalence and type of unmet supportive care needs at the end of treatment (T1) and 4 months later (T2)
- Prospective analysis of psychosocial factors' role in evolution of supportive care needs and in PTG at T2
- Examine impact of a specific follow up consult/notebook given to each patient on supportive care needs (T3)



Explored themes

- Quality of life and emotional state (QLQ-30, HADS, EDP)
- Satisfaction with care (PATSAT)
- Relations and communication (MCC, ECR)
- Perceived Social Support (SSQ)
- Self Estim (RSES)
- Post- traumatic growth (PTGI)
- Unmet needs (SNCS)



Waited outcomes of this longitudinal study?

- * Identify physical/psychological difficulties and needs to be avoided if risk factors or protective factors are understood
- * Identify factors supporting post-traumatic growth
- * Adapt care to each patient's needs



Hopes and limits



Positive outcomes

Unmet needs at the end of the trajectory is predicted by unmet needs at the beginning

Screening strategies help to recognize patient's distress and needs

Optimizes quality of care

Develop adapted psycho-oncological interventions

Limitations

No evidence yet about the direct impact on psychological well being

Need to be repeated along the whole trajectory

Many efforts to be done, by the whole community of health professionals

Need for Medical training

Difficult to apply in routine



Theoretical questions

What are the relations between needs, quality of life and satisfaction with care?

(Brédart and Dolbeault, submitted)

What are the relations between expression of needs and attitude of seeking for help?

(Steginga 2008; Andrykowsky 2010; Beesley 2010; Merckaert 2010)

Factors related to seeking for help: psychological distress, perception of utility of supportive care; caregivers' attitude

(Lepore 2008; Steginga 2008; McDowell 2010, Baker Glenn 2011)

Post traumatic growth's track

(Cicero, 2009; Schroevers 2010; Scrignaro, 2011)





Contact:

sylvie.dolbeault@curie.net